



ΕΚΘΕΣΗ ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΣΜΑΤΩΝ ΕΞΕΤΑΣΗΣ

Στοιχεία Εξεταζόμενου		Στοιχεία Παραπομπής	
Εξεταζόμενος	-	Νοσοκομείο/Κλινική	-
Ημερ. Γέννησης	-	Αρ. Έκθεσης ΠΑ	-
ΑΜΚΑ	-	Κλινική Διάγνωση	ΟΛΙΓΟΔΕΝΔΡΟΓΛΟΙΩΜΑ
Παραπέμπων	-		

Στοιχεία Δείγματος

Τύπος Δείγματος #1	ΙΣΤΟΣ ΕΓΚΛΕΙΣΜΕΝΟΣ ΣΕ ΜΠΛΟΚ ΠΑΡΑΦΙΝΗΣ	Ημερ. Παρ. Δειγμ.	-
Κωδικός Δείγματος #1	-	Ημερ. Αποτελ.	-
Εκτιμώμενο TCC	60%	Ποιοτικός Έλεγχος Δείγμ.	Αποδεκτό
Macrodissection	Ναι	Barcode	26xxxxxxGR

Αποτελέσμα Μοριακής Ανάλυσης

Βιοδείκτης	Αποτέλεσμα	Σχόλιο
<i>IDH1</i>	Εξώνιο 4 c.395G>A, p.R132H (VAF 41.1%)	Πίνακας 1
<i>CIC</i>	Εξώνιο 20 c.4533_4535delCCGinsTCT, p.R1512L (VAF 75.7%)	Πίνακας 1
<i>FUBP1</i>	Εξώνιο 16 c.1519_1528del, p.P507Gfs*155 (VAF 73.2%)	Πίνακας 1
<i>TERT</i>	c.-146C>T, (C250T) (VAF 42.4%)	Πίνακας 1
Μικροδορυφορική Αστάθεια (MSI)	Χωρίς μικροδορυφορική αστάθεια (MSS)	
Υποκινητής MGMT	Μεθυλιωμένος	
1p19q	Ανιχνεύθηκε συν-έλλειψη των χρωμοσωμικών περιοχών 1p19q	Πίνακας 1



ANALYSIS REPORT

Patient Information		Referral Information	
Name	-	Institution/Clinic	-
Date of Birth	-	Pathology Report No.	-
ID/Medical ID	-	Clinical Diagnosis	GLIOBLASTOMA
Req. Physician	-		
Sample Details			
Type of sample #1	PARAFFIN EMBEDDED TISSUE-BLOCK	Date Received	-
Code of sample #1	-	Date of Report	-
Estimated TCC	70%	Sample QC	Pass
Macrodissection	Yes	Barcode	26xxxxxxGR

Molecular Analysis Results

Biomarker	Result	Comment
IDH1	Exon 4 c.395G>A, p.R132H (VAF 41.1%)	Table 1
CIC	Exon 20 c.4533_4535delCCGinsTCT, p.R1512L (VAF 75.7%)	Table 1
FUBP1	Exon 16 c.1519_1528del, p.P507Gfs*155 (VAF 73.2%)	Table 1
TERT	c.-146C>T, (C250T) (VAF 42.4%)	Table 1
Microsatellite Instability (MSI)	Stable (MSS)	
MGMT promoter	Methylated	
1p19q	1p19q co-deletion detected	Table 1



ANALYSIS REPORT

Name	-	Report No	2xxxxxxGR
------	---	-----------	-----------

Interpretation

Genetic Variation: **IDH1: c.395G>A (p.R132H)**

VAF*: 41.1%

OncoKB

Gene information

The IDH1 (isocitrate dehydrogenase 1) protein is an enzyme that catalyzes the oxidative decarboxylation of isocitrate to α -ketoglutarate (α -KG), a crucial step in the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle. IDH1 utilizes NADP(+) as an electron acceptor and it is predominantly expressed in the cytosol and peroxisomes, playing a role in the cytoplasmic production of NADPH. Cancer-associated mutations in the catalytic site of IDH1 confer a gain-of-function of neomorphic enzymatic activity, allowing the mutant enzyme to convert α -KG to the "oncometabolite" D-2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG) (PMID: [20171147](#)). 2-HG promotes tumor development by inhibiting a variety of enzymes that require α -KG as a substrate, including enzymes involved in DNA demethylation, histone demethylation, adaptation to hypoxia and collagen maturation. IDH1 mutations have been identified in glioma, cholangiocarcinoma and acute myeloid leukemia (AML) among others (PMID: [19657110](#), [23630074](#)) and may contribute to the progression of myeloproliferative neoplasms to bone marrow failure or AML (PMID: [29355841](#)).

Variant Information

The IDH1 R132H mutation is located in the catalytic site of the IDH1 protein. This mutation has been found in acute myeloid leukemia and gliomas, among others (PMID: [19935646](#), [29860938](#)). In vitro and in vivo studies have demonstrated that this mutation changes IDH1 enzymatic activity, allowing for the conversion of α -ketoglutarate (α -KG) to the "oncometabolite" D-2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG), and leads to oncogenic activity, as evidenced by aberrant changes in DNA methylation, cytokine independence, dedifferentiation and in vivo increases in early hematopoietic progenitors compared to wildtype IDH1 (PMID: [19935646](#), [22763442](#), [23393090](#)). IDH1 mutations at the R132 residue, including the R132H mutation are central to WHO CNS5 classification of diffuse gliomas and this classification now has direct therapeutic weight, since the IDH1/2-targeted inhibitor vorasidenib is FDA-approved for the treatment of patients twelve years and older with astrocytoma or oligodendroglioma with a susceptible IDH1 mutation.

Genetic Variation: **CIC: c.4533_4535delCCGinsTCT (p.R1512L)**

VAF*: 75.7%

OncoKB

Gene information

CIC (also Capicua) is a transcriptional repressor that is a member of the high mobility (HMG)-box protein family (PMID: [32073140](#)). CIC is expressed in a variety of tissues and is a critical regulator of patterning, differentiation, and signaling (PMID: [10652276](#), [32073140](#)). Chromatin modifiers, such as the Sin/HDAC3 histone deacetylase complex, are recruited to sites bound by CIC to inhibit gene expression of target genes (PMID: [29844126](#)). CIC exists in diverse protein complexes including with ATXN1, which directly binds CIC and modulates its transcriptional repressor activity (PMID: [17190598](#)). The CIC-ATXN1 complex regulates the expression of several transcription factors, including members of the ETS protein family (PMID: [27869830](#)). Loss of CIC expression results in the derepression of ETS transcriptional regulators, such as ETV4, which can activate extracellular remodeling programs and metastasis (PMID: [27869830](#), [22014525](#)). In addition, CIC is a negative regulator of receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) and MAPK signaling pathways and acts as a transcriptional repressor in the absence of RTK signaling (PMID: [11714680](#), [11861482](#), [29844126](#), [28178529](#)). CIC is recurrently mutated in oligodendrogliomas, including in 1p/19q-deleted cancers (PMID: [21817013](#), [22869205](#), [22588899](#)). Somatic mutations and deletions have also been found in various other cancer types, including in lung and gastric cancers (PMID: [25079317](#), [27869830](#)). Chromosomal translocations that produce chimeric CIC proteins fused to several partner proteins, including



ANALYSIS REPORT

Name	-	Report No	2xxxxxxGR
------	---	-----------	-----------

DUX4 or FOXO4, occur in aggressive round cell sarcomas and result in aberrant CIC-mediated transcriptional activation (PMID: [19837261](#), [25007147](#), [21813156](#)).

Variant Information

There is no available functional data about the CIC R1512L mutation (However, it has been identified as a statistically significant hotspot and is likely to be pathogenic).

Genetic Variation:	FUBP1: c.1519_1528del (p.P507Gfs*155)	VAf*: 73.2%	OncoKB
--------------------	--	-------------	--------

Gene information

The protein FUBP1, binds single-stranded DNA, including regulatory DNA elements upstream of genes. Among the motifs it binds is the far upstream element (FUSE), which is located upstream of the MYC oncogene (PMID: [22926519](#)). Regulation of MYC by FUBP1 is complex; while overexpression of FUBP1 can activate transcription of the MYC gene, it has also been reported that inactivating mutations of FUBP1 activate MYC expression (PMID: [20420426](#)). FUBP1 is also known to bind RNA (PMID: [23818605](#)), such as in order to regulate the splicing of MDM2, an important negative regulator of the tumor suppressor TP53 (PMID: [24798327](#)). FUBP1 was reported to be mutated at relatively low frequency (<8%) across a variety of cancers (cBioPortal, Nov 3, 2015). It is most frequently mutated in gliomas, which also show frequent deletion of 1p, where the FUBP1 gene is located (PMID: [22869205](#), [21817013](#)).

Variant Information

FUBP1 truncation mutations occur throughout the gene and are associated with decreased FUBP1 protein expression (PMID: [24117486](#)). Loss of FUBP1 on chromosome 1p is associated with IDH1 and CIC mutations on chromosome 19q in gliomas (PMID: [24117486](#), [22072542](#), [21817013](#)). In vitro studies with HEK293 cells expressing central DNA-binding domain truncated FUBP1 demonstrate that FUBP1 truncation mutations are inactivating as measured by reduced transcriptional activity compared to full-length FUBP1 (PMID: [30500954](#)). The FUBP1 P507Gfs*155 is a truncating mutation in a tumor suppressor gene, and therefore is likely pathogenic.

Genetic Variation:	TERT: c.-146C>T (C250T)	VAf*: 42.4%	OncoKB
--------------------	-----------------------------------	-------------	--------

Gene information

The TERT gene encodes the catalytic subunit of telomerase, an enzyme that maintains telomere length and genomic integrity. TERT expression is low or absent in somatic cells; however, telomerase activity is upregulated in a vast majority of tumors and likely contributes to cancer cell immortality (PMID: [24657534](#), [9282118](#)). Sequencing of the TERT promoter identified activating mutations in a number of cancer types including melanoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, urothelial carcinoma, medulloblastoma and glioma (PMID: [23348506](#), [23530248](#)). Tumors with highly recurrent TERT promoter mutations tend to originate from tissues with lower rates of self-renewal (PMID: [23530248](#)). TERT promoter mutations, C228T and C250T, account for the majority of the somatic TERT promoter alterations and occur 124 and 146 base pairs upstream of the ATG start codon of TERT, respectively. Both promoter mutations create binding motifs for erythroblast transformation-specific (ETS)/ T-cell factor (TCF) transcription factors and enhance telomerase activity (PMID: [23348503](#), [23348506](#), [26194807](#)). In addition to promoter mutations, TERT, located on chromosome 5p, is amplified across many cancer types (PMID: [20164920](#)).



ANALYSIS REPORT

Name	-	Report No	2xxxxxxGR
------	---	-----------	-----------

Genetic Variation:	MGMT promoter: Methylated
--------------------	----------------------------------

VAF*: N/A

Gene information

O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) is a DNA repair gene located on chromosome 10q26 that encodes a repair enzyme responsible for removing alkyl adducts from the O6 position of guanine. This enzyme plays a critical role in maintaining genomic integrity by reversing DNA damage induced by alkylating agents such as temozolomide (TMZ). The MGMT promoter methylation status is a well-established epigenetic biomarker in CNS tumors, particularly in glioblastoma (GBM) and lower-grade gliomas. Methylation of CpG islands within the MGMT promoter leads to transcriptional silencing of the gene, thereby reducing the tumor cells' capacity to repair alkylating agent-induced DNA damage — sensitizing the tumor to chemotherapy.

MGMT promoter methylation is associated with a favorable prognostic and predictive outcome in patients with high-grade gliomas (PMID: 28266716, 36012105). This result supports the likelihood of therapeutic benefit from alkylating agent-based regimens.

Genetic Variation:	1p19q: Co-deleted
--------------------	--------------------------

VAF*: N/A

Gene information

1p/19q codeletion refers to the combined whole-arm deletion of the short arm of chromosome 1 (1p) and the long arm of chromosome 19 (19q). This chromosomal alteration arises from an unbalanced translocation — t(1;19)(q10;p10) — resulting in the simultaneous loss of genetic material from both arms. It is one of the most important molecular hallmarks in neuro-oncology and is considered a defining genetic event in the classification of diffuse gliomas (PMID: 34185076).

1p/19q codeletion carries significant prognostic and predictive value. Patients harboring this alteration have demonstrated markedly improved overall survival and progression-free survival compared to non-codeleted gliomas of equivalent histological grade. Furthermore, co-deleted tumors exhibit enhanced sensitivity to alkylating chemotherapy (PMID: 28340142). The detection of this codeletion supports a diagnosis within the oligodendroglial spectrum and is associated with a more favorable long-term clinical course (PMID: 34185076).

*VAF: Variant Allele Frequency



ANALYSIS REPORT

Name	-	Report No	2xxxxxxGR
------	---	-----------	-----------

Methodology

DNA based NGS analysis

DNA was extracted from the sample under investigation using the MagMax Total Nucleic Acid Isolation Kit (ThermoFisher). A capture based targeted next generation sequencing (NGS) analysis was performed, using the Oncology Multi-Gene Variant Assay (GenePlus) which is a qualitative in vitro diagnostic test. The analysis of 29 genes includes the entire exon regions and CNVs of 9 genes, partial exon regions of 20 genes and introns/promoters/fusion breakpoint regions of 10 genes. The test also reports on Microsatellite Instability (MSI) status. Sequencing was carried out on an MGI sequencing platform (DNBSEQ-T7).

Sequencing data are analyzed through bioinformatics pipeline for variant calling and interpretation using the Gene+Box data analysis and management system.

Sensitivity: Positive reference standards are tested with the assay, all corresponding mutation sites can be accurately detected, and the positive percent agreement (PPA) for all variants (SNVs, Indels, fusions and CNVs) assessed was 100%.

Specificity: Negative reference standards are tested with the assay, and the negative percent agreement (NPA) of SNVs, Indels, fusions and CNVs was 100%.

Limit of Detection (LoD): The limit of detection (LoD) of this assay is listed in the table below. The LoD is based on as low as 50 ng of gDNA input for library preparation. The assay can also be used to test microsatellite instability (MSI) with a tumor cell content as low as 10%.

Tumor Type	Limit of Detection
Single nucleotide variations (SNV)	Hotspot: VAF $\geq 2\%$; Non-hotspot: VAF $\geq 5\%$
Insertions/deletions (Indel)	Hotspot: VAF $\geq 2\%$; Non-hotspot: VAF $\geq 5\%$
Fusion (or rearrangement)	VAF $\geq 2\%$

MGMT promoter gene methylation

The CE-IVD geneMAP™ Methylation Analysis methylation-specific Realtime PCR (MSP) kit was used for the detection of MGMT promoter methylation in combination with the QuantStudio 3 Real Time PCR System (ThermoFisher) platform. Interpretation of results was conducted according to the manufactures instructions.



ANALYSIS REPORT

Name - Report No 2xxxxxxGR

Quality Control Results

Quality Control Index		Result	Criteria
Sequencing Quality Assessment	Average effective sequencing depth ¹	760	≥ 500
	Fraction of target covered with ≥ 50x ²	100%	≥99%
	Fraction of base quality ≥ Q30 ³	99%	≥80%
Tumor cell content ⁴		60%	60%
Overall Assessment ⁵		PASS	

Note:

1. Average effective sequencing depth: Average sequencing depth on target without duplicated reads.
2. Fraction of target covered with ≥ 50x: The proportion of bases that sequencing depth reach or above 50x on target, this index reflecting the coverage uniformity of sequencing.
3. Fraction of base quality ≥ Q30: The proportion of base quality in sequencing data that reach or above Q30, that is the probability of base recognition accuracy rate exceeds 99.9%.
4. An overall tumor cell content percentage of ≥ 20% is recommended for the efficient detection of somatic alterations in the sample analyzed.
5. Overall Assessment: The quality control overall assessment results are divided into two levels: "PASS" and "RISK". When the overall quality assessment result is "RISK", 94-96% of coverage was achieved in the genes analysed, hence there is a small range where clinical actionable variations could be undetected.

Disclaimer

1. This test is mainly used to assist clinical decision-making, and the result does not represent clinical decision.
2. The test should be interpreted by combining the actual patient context.
3. As evidence on variants and drugs evolves, previous classifications may later be modified. The interpretation of a variant is based on current available evidence.
4. Sequence variants were reported using Human Genome Variation Society (HGVS) nomenclature. Classification and interpretation of variants follow guidelines of American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG), Association of Molecular Pathology (AMP), American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) and College of American Pathologists (CAP).
5. Database and references used: Reference genome (GRCh37), annotation using A Locus Reference Genomic (LRG), database referencing 1000G (phaseIII-ucsc), EXAC (0.3.1), dbSNP (147), PolyPhen2/SIFT (ensdb v73), PhyloP (2013-12-06), Clinvar (2018-8) and Cosmic(V80).



ANALYSIS REPORT

Name

-

Report No

2xxxxxxGR

Limitations

1. The test is limited to test genomic variations on DNA level and does not involve RNA level or protein level.
2. Scientific data show that not all patients carry genomic variations that are associated with targeted drug, therefore not all subjects can be matched with targeted therapies or clear resistance mechanism.
3. Genetic variation beyond the detection range of this test or some non-gene mutation related factors such as drug interactions may affect the clinical effects of drugs.
4. Fraction of base quality $\geq$ Q30: The proportion of base quality in sequencing data that reaches or exceeds Q30, indicating that the probability of base recognition accuracy rate exceeds 99.9%.
5. Every molecular test has an internal 0.5-1% chance of failure. This is due to rare molecular events and factors related to the preparation and analysis of the samples.
6. Improper sample collection, transportation and storage may lead to compromised or invalid results.

Genes Analyzed

29 gene alterations									
ATRX*	BRAF*	CDKN2A*	CDKN2B*	CIC*	CTNNB1*	EGFR*	ERBB2*	FUBP1*	H3F3A
HIST1H3B	HIST1H3C	IDH1	IDH2	MET	MYC	MYCN	MYD88	NF1	NF2
PTCH1	SMARCA4	SMARCB1	SMO	SUFU	TERT	TP53	TSC1	TSC2	
10 fusions									
ALK	BRAF	FGFR1	FGFR2	FGFR3	NTRK1	NTRK2	NTRK3	RET	ROS1
MGMT methylation									
1p19q co-deletion**									

*CNV (amplification/deletion) analysis is included for these genes

**1p19q is tested only when an IDH1/IDH2 mutation is detected.



ANALYSIS REPORT

Name	-	Report No	2xxxxxxGR
------	---	-----------	-----------

Table 1.

<i>Diagnosis-Related gene alterations, included in the panel based on 2021 WHO classification of CNS tumors</i>	
Tumor Type	Genes Altered
Astrocytoma, IDH-mutant	IDH1, IDH2, ATRX, TP53, CDKN2A/B
Oligodendroglioma, IDH-mutant, and 1p/19q-codeleted	IDH1, IDH2, 1p/19q, TERT promoter, CIC, FUBP1
Glioblastoma, IDH-wildtype	IDH-wildtype, TERT promoter, EGFR
Polymorphous low-grade neuroepithelial tumor of the young	BRAF, FGFR family
Diffuse low-grade glioma, MAPK pathway-altered	FGFR1, BRAF
Diffuse midline glioma, H3 K27-altered	H3 K27, TP53, PDGFRA
Diffuse hemispheric glioma, H3 G34-mutant	H3 G34, TP53, ATRX
Diffuse pediatric-type high-grade glioma, H3-wildtype, and IDH-wildtype	IDH-wildtype, H3-wildtype, PDGFRA, MYCN, EGFR
Infant-type hemispheric glioma	NTRK family, ALK, ROS, MET
Pilocytic astrocytoma	KIAA1549-BRAF, BRAF, NF1
High-grade astrocytoma with piloid features	BRAF, NF1, ATRX
Pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma	BRAF, CDKN2A/B
Subependymal giant cell astrocytoma	TSC1, TSC2
Ganglion cell tumors	BRAF
Dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumor	FGFR1
Rosette-forming glioneuronal tumor	FGFR1, PIK3CA, NF1
Diffuse leptomeningeal glioneuronal tumor	KIAA1549-BRAF fusion
Dysplastic cerebellar gangliocytoma (Lhermitte-Duclos disease)	PTEN
Extraventricular neurocytoma	FGFR (FGFR1-TACC1 fusion), IDH-wildtype
Spinal ependymomas	NF2, MYCN
Medulloblastoma, WNT-activated	CTNNB1
Medulloblastoma, SHH-activated	TP53, PTCH1, SUFU, SMO, MYCN
Medulloblastoma, non-WNT/non-SHH	MYC, MYCN
Atypical teratoid/rhabdoid tumor	SMARCB1, SMARCA4
Desmoplastic myxoid tumor of the pineal region, SMARCB1-mutant	SMARCB1
Meningiomas	NF2, SMO, H3K27, TERT promoter, CDKN2A/B
Adamantinomatous craniopharyngioma	CTNNB1
Papillary craniopharyngioma	BRAF



ANALYSIS REPORT

Name	-	Report No	2xxxxxxGR
------	---	-----------	-----------

References

1. Louis DN, et al. **The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary.** Neuro Oncol. 2021 Aug 2;23(8):1231-1251. PMID: [34185076](#). PMCID: PMC8328013, DOI: 10.1093/neuonc/noab106
2. Binabaj MM, et al. **The prognostic value of MGMT promoter methylation in glioblastoma: A meta-analysis of clinical trials.** J Cell Physiol. 2018 Jan;233(1):378-386. PMID: [28266716](#) DOI: 10.1002/jcp.25896
3. Sareen H, et al. **Molecular Biomarkers in Glioblastoma: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.** Int J Mol Sci. 2022 Aug 9;23(16):8835. PMID: [36012105](#) PMCID: PMC9408540, DOI: 10.3390/ijms23168835
4. Pao W, et al. **EGF receptor gene mutations are common in lung cancers from "never smokers" and are associated with sensitivity of tumors to gefitinib and erlotinib.** Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101:13306-11. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0405220101 (PMID: [15329413](#))
5. Cachia AR, et al. **CDKN2A mutation and deletion status in thin and thick primary melanoma.** Clin Cancer Res. 2000; 6:3511-5. (PMID: [10999737](#))
6. Altomare DA, et al. **Losses of both products of the Cdkn2a/Arf locus contribute to asbestos-induced mesothelioma development and cooperate to accelerate tumorigenesis.** PLoS One. 2011; 6:e18828. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018828 (PMID: [21526190](#))
7. Khozin S, et al. **Osimertinib for the Treatment of Metastatic EGFR T790M Mutation-Positive Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer.** Clin Cancer Res. 2017; 23:2131-2135. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-16-1773 (PMID: [27923840](#))
8. Rayess H, et al. **Cellular senescence and tumor suppressor gene p16.** Int J Cancer. 2012; 130:1715-25. doi: 10.1002/ijc.27316 (PMID: [22025288](#))
9. Heidenreich B, et al. **TERT promoter mutations in cancer development.** Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2014; 24:30-7. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2013.11.005 (PMID: [24657534](#))
10. Yarden Y and Shilo BZ. **SnapShot: EGFR signaling pathway.** Cell. 2007; 131:1018. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.11.013 (PMID: [18045542](#))
11. Carnero A and Hannon GJ. **The INK4 family of CDK inhibitors.** Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 1998; 227:43-55. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-71941-7_3 (PMID: [9479825](#))
12. Zhao R, et al. **Implications of Genetic and Epigenetic Alterations of CDKN2A (p16(INK4a)) in Cancer.** EBioMedicine. 2016; 8:30-39. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2016.04.017 (PMID: [27428416](#))
13. Wang C, et al. **Successful treatment of lung adenocarcinoma with gefitinib based on EGFR gene amplification.** J Thorac Dis. 2018; 10:E779-E783. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2018.10.55 (PMID: [30622811](#))
14. Bartsch DK, et al. **CDKN2A germline mutations in familial pancreatic cancer.** Ann Surg. 2002; 236:730-7. doi: 10.1097/0000658-200212000-00005 (PMID: [12454511](#))
15. McNeal AS, et al. **CDKN2B Loss Promotes Progression from Benign Melanocytic Nevus to Melanoma.** Cancer Discov. 2015; 5:1072-85. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-15-0196 (PMID: [26183406](#))
16. Tu Q, et al. **CDKN2B deletion is essential for pancreatic cancer development instead of unmeaningful co-deletion due to juxtaposition to CDKN2A.** Oncogene. 2018; 37:128-138. doi: 10.1038/ncr.2017.316 (PMID: [28892048](#))
17. Frederick L, et al. **Diversity and frequency of epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in human glioblastomas.** Cancer Res. 2000; 60:1383-7. (PMID: [10728703](#))
18. Chiba K, et al. **Cancer-associated TERT promoter mutations abrogate telomerase silencing.** Elife. 2015; 4:(unknown pages). doi: 10.7554/eLife.07918 (PMID: [26194807](#))



ANALYSIS REPORT

Name	Report No
-	2xxxxxxGR
19. Sanchez-Vega F, et al. EGFR and MET Amplifications Determine Response to HER2 Inhibition in ERBB2-Amplified Esophagogastric Cancer. Cancer Discov. 2019; 9:199-209. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-18-0598 (PMID: 30463996) 20. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Comprehensive molecular profiling of lung adenocarcinoma. Nature. 2014; 511:543-50. doi: 10.1038/nature13385 (PMID: 25079552) 21. Zhang Y, et al. ARF promotes MDM2 degradation and stabilizes p53: ARF-INK4a locus deletion impairs both the Rb and p53 tumor suppression pathways. Cell. 1998; 92:725-34. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81401-4 (PMID: 9529249) 22. Cohen MH, et al. United States Food and Drug Administration Drug Approval summary: Gefitinib (ZD1839; Iressa) tablets. Clin Cancer Res. 2004; 10:1212-8. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-03-0564 (PMID: 14977817) 23. Kovacs E, et al. A structural perspective on the regulation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. Annu Rev Biochem. 2015; 84:739-64. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-060614-034402 (PMID: 25621509) 24. Wu S, et al. EGFR Amplification Induces Increased DNA Damage Response and Renders Selective Sensitivity to Talazoparib (PARP Inhibitor) in Glioblastoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2020; 26:1395-1407. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-2549 (PMID: 31852834) 25. Hannon GJ and Beach D. p15INK4B is a potential effector of TGF-beta-induced cell cycle arrest. Nature. 1994; 371:257-61. doi: 10.1038/371257a0 (PMID: 8078588) 26. Jafri M, et al. Germline Mutations in the CDKN2B Tumor Suppressor Gene Predispose to Renal Cell Carcinoma. Cancer Discov. 2015; 5:723-9. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-14-1096 (PMID: 25873077) 27. Quelle DE, et al. Alternative reading frames of the INK4a tumor suppressor gene encode two unrelated proteins capable of inducing cell cycle arrest. Cell. 1995; 83:993-1000. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(95)90214-7 (PMID: 8521522) 28. Binabaj MM, et al. The prognostic value of MGMT promoter methylation in glioblastoma: A meta-analysis of clinical trials. J Cell Physiol. 2018; 233:378-386. doi: 10.1002/jcp.25896 (PMID: 28266716) 29. Ruiz-Patiño A, et al. EGFR Amplification and Sensitizing Mutations Correlate with Survival in Lung Adenocarcinoma Patients Treated with Erlotinib (MutP-CLICaP). Target Oncol. 2018; 13:621-629. doi: 10.1007/s11523-018-0594-x (PMID: 30284706) 30. Serrano M, et al. Role of the INK4a locus in tumor suppression and cell mortality. Cell. 1996; 85:27-37. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81079-x (PMID: 8620534) 31. Laharanne E, et al. CDKN2A-CDKN2B deletion defines an aggressive subset of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. Mod Pathol. 2010; 23:547-58. doi: 10.1038/modpathol.2009.196 (PMID: 20118908) 32. (None). Afatinib (Gilotrif) for advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Med Lett Drugs Ther. 2015; 57:e82-3. (PMID: 26039556) 33. Sareen H, et al. Molecular Biomarkers in Glioblastoma: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Int J Mol Sci. 2022; 23:(unknown pages). doi: 10.3390/ijms23168835 (PMID: 36012105) 34. Seshacharyulu P, et al. Targeting the EGFR signaling pathway in cancer therapy. Expert Opin Ther Targets. 2012; 16:15-31. doi: 10.1517/14728222.2011.648617 (PMID: 22239438) 35. Siebert R, et al. Role of the cyclin-dependent kinase 4 and 6 inhibitor gene family p15, p16, p18 and p19 in leukemia and lymphoma. Leuk Lymphoma. 1996; 23:505-20. doi: 10.3109/10428199609054859 (PMID: 9031081) 36. Rotow J and Bivona TG. Understanding and targeting resistance mechanisms in NSCLC. Nat Rev Cancer. 2017; 17:637-658. doi: 10.1038/nrc.2017.84 (PMID: 29068003) 37. Killock D. Lung cancer: a new generation of EGFR inhibition. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2015; 12:373. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2015.93 (PMID: 25963089)	



ANALYSIS REPORT

Name	Report No
-	2xxxxxxGR
38. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, et al. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. Nature. 2017; 541:169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805 (PMID: 28052061) 39. Brennan CW, et al. The somatic genomic landscape of glioblastoma. Cell. 2013; 155:462-77. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.09.034 (PMID: 24120142) 40. Khozin S, et al. U. S. Food and Drug Administration approval summary: Erlotinib for the first-line treatment of metastatic non-small cell lung cancer with epidermal growth factor receptor exon 19 deletions or exon 21 (L858R) substitution mutations. Oncologist. 2014; 19:774-9. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2014-0089 (PMID: 24868098) 41. Sharma SV, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in lung cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2007; 7:169-81. doi: 10.1038/nrc2088 (PMID: 17318210) 42. Horn S, et al. TERT promoter mutations in familial and sporadic melanoma. Science. 2013; 339:959-61. doi: 10.1126/science.1230062 (PMID: 23348503) 43. Shay JW and Bacchetti S. A survey of telomerase activity in human cancer. Eur J Cancer. 1997; 33:787-91. doi: 10.1016/S0959-8049(97)00062-2 (PMID: 9282118) 44. Huang FW, et al. Highly recurrent TERT promoter mutations in human melanoma. Science. 2013; 339:957-9. doi: 10.1126/science.1229259 (PMID: 23348506) 45. Sharpless NE. INK4a/ARF: a multifunctional tumor suppressor locus. Mutat Res. 2005; 576:22-38. doi: 10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2004.08.021 (PMID: 15878778) 46. Olayioye MA, et al. The ErbB signaling network: receptor heterodimerization in development and cancer. EMBO J. 2000; 19:3159-67. doi: 10.1093/emboj/19.13.3159 (PMID: 10880430) 47. Raschke S, et al. Homozygous deletions of CDKN2A caused by alternative mechanisms in various human cancer cell lines. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2005; 42:58-67. doi: 10.1002/gcc.20119 (PMID: 15495191) 48. Beroukhi R, et al. The landscape of somatic copy-number alteration across human cancers. Nature. 2010; 463:899-905. doi: 10.1038/nature08822 (PMID: 20164920) 49. Xu N, et al. CDKN2 Gene Deletion as Poor Prognosis Predictor Involved in the Progression of Adult B-Lineage Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia Patients. J Cancer. 2015; 6:1114-20. doi: 10.7150/jca.11959 (PMID: 26516359) 50. Brandt LP, et al. Oncogenic HrasG12V expression plus knockdown of Cdkn2a using ecotropic lentiviral vectors induces high-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma. PLoS One. 2017; 12:e0186102. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0186102 (PMID: 28982163) 51. Mendelsohn J and Baselga J. The EGF receptor family as targets for cancer therapy. Oncogene. 2000; 19:6550-65. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1204082 (PMID: 11426640) 52. Lemmon MA, et al. The EGFR family: not so prototypical receptor tyrosine kinases. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2014; 6:a020768. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a020768 (PMID: 24691965) 53. Wolff L, et al. Hypermethylation of the Ink4b locus in murine myeloid leukemia and increased susceptibility to leukemia in p15(Ink4b)-deficient mice. Oncogene. 2003; 22:9265-74. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1207092 (PMID: 14681685) 54. Killela PJ, et al. TERT promoter mutations occur frequently in gliomas and a subset of tumors derived from cells with low rates of self-renewal. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013; 110:6021-6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1303607110 (PMID: 23530248)	